arXiv:1703.05741v3 [math.AG] 28 Mar 2017

ROOTS OF BERNSTEIN-SATO POLYNOMIALS OF HOMOGENEOUS POLYNOMIALS WITH 1-DIMENSIONAL SINGULAR LOCI

MORIHIKO SAITO

Abstract. For homogeneous polynomials with 1-dimensional singular loci, we present a new method to compute the roots of Bernstein-Sato polynomials which are supported at the origin, if certain conditions are satisfied. We calculate the dimensions of certain E_r-terms of the pole order spectral sequence for the top degree forms without using induction for $r \leq 3$. This is more systematic than the method of Dimca and Sticlaru using syzygies for differential forms of the second highest degree. We can detect the E_3-degeneration of some part of the spectral sequence if certain relations among the dimensions of E_3-terms hold. For the moment there are no examples with this condition unsatisfied. This can be used to determine the roots of Bernstein-Sato polynomial supported at the origin if another condition holds in order to avoid a difficulty coming from an assumption in a theorem we have to use. In the 3 variable case, except for polynomials of rather special types, the last condition is satisfied in the case of examples where we were able to compute the dimensions of relevant terms of the spectral sequences.

Introduction

Let f be a homogeneous polynomial of n variables with degree d. In this introduction assume

$$\dim \operatorname{Sing} Z = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad Z := \{f = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}.$$

Let $b_f(s)$ be the Bernstein-Sato polynomial of f. We denote by $\mathcal{R}_f \subset \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ the set of roots of $b_f(s)$ up to a sign, see [Ka]. For $z \in Z$, the local Bernstein-Sato polynomial $b_{h_z,z}(s)$ is *independent* of a choice of a local defining holomorphic function h_z of (Z, z), and is denoted by $b_{Z,z}(s)$, see for instance [Sa3]. Let $\mathcal{R}_{Z,z} \subset \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ be the set of roots of $b_{h_z,z}(s)$ up to a sign. Set

$$\mathcal{R}_Z = \textstyle\bigcup_{z\in Z} \mathcal{R}_{Z,z} \subset \mathcal{R}_f,$$

where the last inclusion holds by the same reason as above. We have

$$\mathcal{R}_f = \mathcal{R}_f^0 \sqcup \mathcal{R}_Z \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{R}_f^0 := \mathcal{R}_f \setminus \mathcal{R}_Z. \tag{1}$$

We call $\mathcal{R}_f^0$ the *roots of* $b_f(s)$ *supported at the origin up to a sign.*

Set $F_f := f^{-1}(1) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ (the Milnor fiber of f). There is the pole order filtration P on the monodromy eigenspaces $H^j(F_f, \mathbb{C})_\lambda$ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$), and we have the following.

Theorem 1 ([Sa1, Theorem 2]). *For $\alpha \notin \mathcal{R}_Z$, we have*

$$\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_f^0 \quad \text{if} \quad \operatorname{Gr}_P^p H^{n-1}(F_f, \mathbb{C})_\lambda \neq 0 \ \big(p = [n-\alpha],\ \lambda = e^{-2\pi i\alpha}\big), \tag{2}$$

where the converse holds if we have

$$\alpha \notin \mathcal{R}_Z + \mathbb{Z}_{<0}, \tag{3}$$

Let $\Omega^\bullet$ be the graded complex of algebraic differential forms on $\mathbb{C}^n$, which is graded with $\deg x_i = \deg \mathrm{d}x_i = 1$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have the microlocal *pole order spectral sequence*

$$E_1^{p,q}(f)_k = H^{p+q}_{\mathrm{d}f\wedge}(\Omega^\bullet)_{qd+k} \Longrightarrow \widetilde{H}^{p+q-1}(F_f, \mathbb{C})_{\mathbf{e}(-k/d)}, \tag{4}$$

whose abutment filtration coincides with the pole order filtration P in Theorem 1 up to the shift of filtration by $\big[n - \frac{k}{d}\big]$, see (1.1.3) below (and also [Di], [DiSa1], [DiSa2]). Here

$\mathbf{e}(\alpha) := e^{2\pi i\alpha}$, and one may assume $k \in [1,d]$ in (4) if one prefers. In the notation of [DiSa2], we have the isomorphisms for $r \geqslant 1$:

$$E_r^{p,q}(f)_k = \begin{cases} N^{(r)}_{(q+1)d+k} & \text{if } p+q = n-1, \\ M^{(r)}_{qd+k} & \text{if } p+q = n, \end{cases}$$

with

$$N^{(1)} = H^{n-1}_{\mathrm{d}f\wedge}(\Omega^\bullet)(-d), \quad M^{(1)} = H^n_{\mathrm{d}f\wedge}(\Omega^\bullet),$$
$$N^{(2)} = H^{n-1}_{\mathrm{d}}(H^\bullet_{\mathrm{d}f\wedge}(\Omega^\bullet))(-d), \quad M^{(2)} = H^n_{\mathrm{d}}(H^\bullet_{\mathrm{d}f\wedge}(\Omega^\bullet)),$$

where $(-d)$ denotes the shift of grading by $-d$. The differentials

$$d_{r,k}^{n-1-q,q} : E_r^{n-1-q,q}(f)_k \to E_r^{n-1-q+r,q+1-r}(f)_k \qquad \big(r \geqslant 1,\, k \in \mathbb{Z}\big)$$

are identified with

$$\mathrm{d}^{(r)}_{(q+1)d+k} : N^{(r)}_{(q+1)d+k} \to M^{(r)}_{(q+1-r)d+k} \qquad \big(r \geqslant 1,\, k \in \mathbb{Z}\big), \tag{5}$$

and $N^{(r+1)}$, $M^{(r+1)}$ with the kernel and cokernel of $d^{(r)}$ respectively.

In this paper we present a new method to compute the $\dim M_k^{(r)}$ (*without* using induction on r), see Corollary (1.3) below. This is more systematic than the one in [DiSt1], [DiSt2] using syzygies for differential forms of the second highest degree, and can be applied also to the case $f^{-1}(0) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ has *higher* dimensional singularities (where Z may be non-reduced), see Remark (ii) after Proposition (1.2) below. In the case $f^{-1}(0)$ has 1-*dimensional* singularities, the $\dim N_k^{(r)}$ are also obtained by increasing induction on $r \geqslant 1$, see Corollary (1.4) below. We have moreover the following (which is essential for explicit computations of pole order spectral sequences):

Theorem 2. *Set* $\mu_k^{(r)} := \dim M_k^{(r)}$, $\nu_k^{(r)} := \dim N_k^{(r)}$, *and*

$$\chi_i^{(r)} := \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mu_{i+jd}^{(r)} - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \nu_{i+jd}^{(r)} & \text{if } i \in [1, d-1], \\ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mu_{jd}^{(r)} - \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \nu_{jd}^{(r)} + (-1)^{n-1} & \text{if } i = d. \end{cases}$$

Put $U := \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \setminus Z$. *Assume there is an integer* $r \geqslant n$ *satisfying*

$$\chi_i^{(r)} = (-1)^{n-1}\chi(U) \quad (\forall\, i \in [1,d]). \tag{6}$$

Then the pole order spectral sequence degenerates at E_r *for* $k < nd$, *that is,*

$$\mu_k^{(r)} = \mu_k^{(\infty)}, \quad \nu_k^{(r)} = \nu_k^{(\infty)} \quad (\forall\, k < nd). \tag{7}$$

For $n = r = 3$, there are no examples with assumption (6) unsatisfied as far as calculated, see also [DiSt2]. Theorem 2 can be generalized to the case f has 2-dimensional singular locus if $h := f/x_n^d$ is a quasi-homogeneous polynomial of $x_1/x_d, \dots, x_{n-1}/x_n$ after a sufficiently general linear coordinate change of $x_1, \dots, x_n$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$ (where h may be identified with the restriction of f to $x_n = 1$), see Theorem (1.6) below. Here a function h is called quasi-homogeneous (in a generalized sense) if h belongs to the Jacobian ideal (∂h) generated by the partial derivatives of h. In the non-isolated singularity case, this cannot be determined only by the zero-locus of h, and this is quite different from the isolated singularity case.

Combining Theorems 1 and 2, we can determine $\mathcal{R}_f$ in many cases with $n = r = 3$, although there is a difficulty coming form the assumption (3) in Theorem 1. To avoid this problem, set as in [Sa3]

$$\mathrm{CS}(f) := \big\{k \in \mathbb{Z} \,\big|\, \tfrac{k}{d} \in [\alpha_Z,\, n-2-\alpha_Z] \cap (\mathcal{R}_Z + \mathbb{Z}_{<0}) \setminus \mathcal{R}_Z\big\} \subset \mathbb{Z},$$

with $\alpha_Z := \min \mathcal{R}_Z$. Replacing $\delta_k := \mu_k - \nu_{k+d}$ with $\mu_k^{(\infty)}$, we have the following analogue of [Sa3, Theorem 4] (whose proof is omitted since it is essentially the same):

Theorem 3. *Assume*

$$\mu_k^{(\infty)} > 0 \quad \text{for any} \quad k \in \mathrm{CS}(f). \tag{8}$$

Then $\mathcal{R}_f^0$ can be determined by the $\mu_k^{(\infty)}$ $(k \in \mathbb{N})$. More precisely, we have the inclusion

$$\mathcal{R}_f^0 \subset \big[\tfrac{n}{d}, n\big) \cap \tfrac{1}{d}\,\mathbb{Z}, \tag{9}$$

and for $\frac{k}{d} \in \big(\big[\frac{n}{d}, n\big) \cap \frac{1}{d}\,\mathbb{Z}\big) \setminus \mathcal{R}_Z$, we have $\frac{k}{d} \in \mathcal{R}_f^0$ if and only if $\mu_k^{(\infty)} > 0$.

There are examples with condition (8) unsatisfied also in the case where Z has *non-quasi-homogeneous* singularities, see Example (2.3) below. (Recall that a hypersurface singularity is called *quasi-homogeneous*, that is, *weighted homogeneous*, if its local defining function is contained in its Jacobian ideal, see [SaK].) For the moment such examples are restricted to the case $\chi(U) < 0$ with $n = 3$. It has been known that condition (8) does not hold for certain extremely degenerated curves, which have only weighted homogeneous singularities, see [Sa3].

Remark 1. The method in this paper is *not very efficient* in the case Z has only *weighted homogeneous* isolated singularities, since the E_2-degeneration and a certain injectivity of the differential d_1 hold by [Sa3, Theorem 2] and [DiSa2, Theorem 5.3] so that it is enough to compute the *Hilbert series of the graded Milnor algebra*, which gives the E_1-term of the spectral sequence, see [Sa3].

Remark 2. In the non-quasi-homogeneous singularity case, it is quite nontrivial to calculate the local Bernstein-Sato polynomials of Z, and we may have to use computer programs like RISA/ASIR in general. This is entirely different from the *weighted homogeneous* case, where the local Bernstein-Sato polynomials are determined only by the *weights* as a consequence of results of [Ma], [Sat], [ScSt], [Va], see [Sa3, Section 1.9].

Set $\mathrm{Supp}\,\big\{\mu_k^{(\infty)}\big\} := \{k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \mu_k^{(\infty)} \neq 0\}$, called the *support* of $\big\{\mu_k^{(\infty)}\big\}$. We say that the support of the $\mu_k^{(\infty)}$ is *discretely connected outside* $\mathcal{R}_Z$ if the following holds:

$$\mathrm{Supp}\,\big\{\mu_k^{(\infty)}\big\} \setminus \mathcal{R}_Z = \{k_{\min}, \dots, k_{\max}\} \setminus \mathcal{R}_Z \qquad \big(k_{\min},\, k_{\max} \in \mathrm{Supp}\,\big\{\mu_k^{(\infty)}\big\}\big). \tag{10}$$

We do not know any example with this condition unsatisfied, although there are examples such that a variant of (10) does not hold where $\mathcal{R}_Z$ in (10) is replaced with $\{d\}$ by an analogy with [Sa3, (15)]. For the moment such examples are also restricted to the case $\chi(U) \leqslant -1$. We have the following analogue of [Sa3, Corollary 3]:

Corollary 1. *If condition* (10) *is satisfied with*

$$k_{\min} = n, \quad k_{\max} \geqslant \max\big(d\,\mathcal{R}_Z \cap \mathbb{Z}\big) - d, \tag{11}$$

then we have

$$\mathcal{R}_f^0 = \big\{\tfrac{n}{d}, \dots, \tfrac{k_{\max}}{d}\big\} \setminus \mathcal{R}_Z \subset \tfrac{1}{d}\,\mathbb{Z}.$$

This does not necessarily imply that $d\,\mathcal{R}_f \cap \mathbb{Z} = \{n, \dots, k'\}$ for some $k' \in \mathbb{N}$. The last condition of (11) is needed to show that $\frac{k}{d} \notin \mathcal{R}_f^0$ for $k > k_{\max}$ using Theorem 1. We can replace it by the condition: $k_{\max} \geqslant d - 1$ in the case $n = 3$. Condition (10) and the last condition of (11) are always satisfied as far as calculated where $n = 3$.

As for the first condition of (11), the inequality $k_{\min} \geqslant n$ always holds, and the strict inequality occurs only if $\alpha_Z \leqslant \frac{n}{d}$, see [Sa3, 4.2.6]. In this case we cannot determine whether

$\frac{k}{d} \in \mathcal{R}_f^0$ for $k \in \{n, \dots, k_{\min} - 1\}$ with $\frac{k}{d} \in \big(\mathcal{R}_Z + \mathbb{Z}_{<0}\big) \setminus \mathcal{R}_Z$ because of condition (3) in Theorem 1.

This work is partially supported by Kakenhi 15K04816. We thank A. Dimca for useful discussions about the subject of this paper, and also for making a computer program based on the algorithm in this paper (which encouraged us to make a less sophisticated one).

In Section 1 we review some basics of pole order spectral sequences, and prove Theorem 2 and also Proposition (1.2) and Corollary (1.3) giving the formula for explicit calculations. In Section 2 we explain some examples.

1. Pole order spectral sequences

In this section we review some basics of pole order spectral sequences, and prove Theorem 2 and also Proposition (1.2) and Corollary (1.3).

1.1. Construction. In the notation of the introduction, the pole order spectral sequence is essentially the spectral sequence associated with the graded double complex $C^{\bullet,\bullet}$ with

$$C^{p,q} = \Omega^{p+q}(qd). \tag{1.1.1}$$

Here (qd) denotes the shift of grading, and $\Omega^\bullet$ is the complex of algebraic differential forms on $\mathbb{C}^n$; for instance,

$$\Omega^n = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]\, \mathrm{d}x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x_n,$$

with $x_1, \dots, x_n$ the coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^n$. The anti-commuting two differentials are given by

$$\mathrm{d} : C^{p,q} \to C^{p+1,q}, \quad \mathrm{d}f\wedge : C^{p,q} \to C^{p,q+1} \quad (q \geqslant 0),$$

where the first differential d is the usual one. Note that

$$C_k^{p,q} = 0, \ \text{ if } \ q < 0, \ \ k \in [1, d], \ p + q \neq 0. \tag{1.1.2}$$

We have the decreasing filtration F on the total complex $C^\bullet$ defined by

$$F^p C^j = \textstyle\bigoplus_{i \geqslant p} C^{i,j-i}.$$

This gives the microlocal *pole order spectral sequence* (see [Di], [DiSa2]):

$$E_1^{p,q}(f)_k = H^{p+q}_{\mathrm{d}f\wedge}(\Omega^\bullet)_{qd+k} \Longrightarrow H^{p+q}C_k^\bullet = \widetilde{H}^{p+q-1}(F_f, \mathbb{C})_{\mathbf{e}(-k/d)}, \tag{1.1.3}$$

where the last isomorphism follows from the graded version of the theory of microlocal Gauss-Manin systems, see [BaSa] for the analytic case.

There are filtered graded isomorphisms

$$(C^\bullet, F) = (C^\bullet(md), F[m]) \qquad (m \in \mathbb{Z}), \tag{1.1.4}$$

induced by the natural isomorphisms

$$C^{i,j} \xrightarrow{\sim} C^{i+m,j-m}(md) \quad (p, q \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

(Indeed, the condition $i \geqslant p$ implies $i' := i + m \geqslant p + m$, and $(F[m])^p = F^{p+m}$.)

Since $C^{p,q} = 0$ for $p + q > n$, we see that $E_{r+1}^{n-q,q}(f)_k$ is a *quotient* (not only a subquotient) of $E_r^{n-q,q}(f)_k$, which is obtained by dividing the latter by the image of d_r. Here we may assume $q = 0$ (with k not necessarily in $[1, d]$) by using the isomorphism (1.1.4). These imply that there is an increasing filtration $B_\bullet$ on $\Omega_k^n = C_k^{n,0}$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_k^n/B_{r-1}\,\Omega_k^n & = & E_r^{n,0}(f)_k, \\ \cup & & \cup \\ \mathrm{Gr}_r^B\Omega_k^n & = & \mathrm{Im}\,\mathrm{d}_r \qquad (r \geqslant 1), \end{array} \tag{1.1.5}$$

Here we put

$$B_0\,\Omega_k^n := \mathrm{Im}\,\mathrm{d}f\wedge \subset \Omega_k^n, \quad B_{-1}\,\Omega_k^n := 0.$$

In the notation of the introduction, the top isomorphism in (1.1.5) means

$$M_k^{(r)} = \Omega_k^n/B_{r-1}\,\Omega_k^n \qquad \big(\forall\, r \geqslant 1,\, k\in\mathbb{Z}\big). \tag{1.1.6}$$

Here we do not assume that f has 1-dimensional singular locus (so f may be *non-reduced*).

The filtration $B_\bullet$ can be described explicitly as follows. For $r\geqslant 0$, $k\in\mathbb{Z}$, define

$$\Psi_{r,k}\,:\,\textstyle\bigoplus_{j=-1}^{r-1}\Omega_{jd+k}^{n-1}\to\bigoplus_{j=0}^{r}\Omega_{jd+k}^n \tag{1.1.7}$$

by

$$(\omega_{-1},\dots,\omega_{r-1})\mapsto(\mathrm{d}\omega_0+\mathrm{d}f\wedge\omega_{-1},\ \dots\ ,\mathrm{d}\omega_{r-1}+\mathrm{d}f\wedge\omega_{r-2},\,\mathrm{d}f\wedge\omega_{r-1}).$$

Define

$$\Phi_{r,k}:\textstyle\bigoplus_{j=-1}^{r-1}\Omega_{jd+k}^{n-1}\to\bigoplus_{j=1}^{r}\Omega_{jd+k}^n \tag{1.1.8}$$

to be the composition of $\Psi_{r,k}$ with the natural projection

$$\textstyle\bigoplus_{j=0}^{r}\Omega_{jd+k}^n\twoheadrightarrow\bigoplus_{j=1}^{r}\Omega_{jd+k}^n.$$

We have the following.

Proposition 1.2. *In the above notation, there are canonical isomorphisms*

$$\Psi_{r,k}(\mathrm{Ker}\,\Phi_{r,k}) = B_r\,\Omega_k^n \qquad \big(\forall\, r\geqslant 0,\, k\in\mathbb{Z}\big). \tag{1.2.1}$$

Proof. We may assume $r>0$, since the assertion easily follows from definitions if $r=0$. By the definition of the spectral sequence associated with the filtered complex $(C^\bullet,F)$, we can identify $\mathrm{Ker}\,\Phi_{r,k}$ with the quotient of

$$Z_r^{n-q-r,\,q+r-1} := \mathrm{Ker}\big(d: F^{n-q-r}C_k^{n-1}\to C^n/F^{n-q}C_k^n\big),$$

divided by

$$F^{n-q+1}C_k^{n-1} = \textstyle\bigoplus_{j=0}^{q-2}C_k^{n-1-j,j}\,\big(=\bigoplus_{j=0}^{q-2}\Omega_{jd+k}^{n-1}\big).$$

Here

$$F^{n-q-r}C_k^{n-1} = \textstyle\bigoplus_{j=0}^{q+r-1}C_k^{n-1-j,j}\,\big(=\bigoplus_{j=0}^{q+r-1}\Omega_{jd+k}^{n-1}\big),$$
$$F^{n-q}C_k^{n} = \textstyle\bigoplus_{j=0}^{q}C_k^{n-j,j}\,\big(=\bigoplus_{j=0}^{q}\Omega_{jd+k}^{n}\big),$$

and the restriction of $\Psi_{r,k}$ to $\mathrm{Ker}\,\Phi_{r,k}$ is induced by the differential of the quotient complex $C_k^\bullet/F^{n-q+1}C_k^\bullet$. So the assertion follows from Remark (i) below.

Remarks. (i) By the general theory of spectral sequences associated with filtered complexes $(C^\bullet,F)$ (see for instance [De, Section 1.3], [Go]), it is well known that $E_r^{p,q}$ is a quotient of

$$Z_r^{p,q} := \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{d}: F^pC^{p+q}\to C^{p+q+1}/F^{p+r}C^{p+q+1}),$$

and the differential d_r is induced by the restriction of the differential d of $C^\bullet$.

(ii) In the case $Z\subset\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ has m-dimensional singular locus, we can apply the same argument as above by replacing (Ω^{n-1},Ω^n) with $(\Omega^{n-i-1},\Omega^{n-i})$ for each $i=0,\dots,m$. So the differentials d_r of the pole order spectral sequence can be calculated explicitly for $r\geqslant 1$ (if f is not very complicated).

Corollary 1.3. *In the notation of* (1.1)*, setting*

$$\beta_{r,k} := \mathrm{rank}\,\Psi_{r,k} - \mathrm{rank}\,\Phi_{r,k} \quad (\forall\, r\geqslant 0,\, k\in\mathbb{Z}), \tag{1.3.1}$$

we have the equalities

$$\dim M_k^{(r+1)} = \tbinom{k-1}{n-1} - \beta_{r,k} \qquad \big(\forall\, r\geqslant 0,\, k\in\mathbb{Z}\big). \tag{1.3.2}$$

Proof. For $r \geqslant 0$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\dim \Omega_k^n = \binom{k-1}{n-1}$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\dim \Psi_{r,k}(\operatorname{Ker} \Phi_{r,k}) &= \dim \operatorname{Ker} \Phi_{r,k} - \dim \operatorname{Ker} \Psi_{r,k} \\ &= \operatorname{rank} \Psi_{r,k} - \operatorname{rank} \Phi_{r,k} = \beta_{r,k}.\end{aligned}$$

So the assertion follows from Proposition (1.2) together with (1.1.6).

Corollary 1.4. *In the notation of* (1.1) *and Corollary* (1.3)*, assume f has* 1*-dimensional singular locus. Then we have*

$$\dim N_k^{(r+1)} = \dim N_k^{(r)} + \beta_{r-1,k-rd} - \beta_{r,k-rd} \quad (r \geqslant 1). \tag{1.4.1}$$

Moreover, for $r = 1$, we have

$$\dim M_k^{(1)} - \dim N_k^{(1)} = \gamma_k \quad (\forall\, k \in \mathbb{Z}), \tag{1.4.2}$$

with

$$\textstyle\sum_k \gamma_k\, t^k = \big(t + \cdots + t^{d-1}\big)^n. \tag{1.4.3}$$

Proof. Considering the rank of $\mathrm{d}^{(r)}$, we have

$$\dim N_k^{(r)} - \dim N_k^{(r+1)} = \dim M_{k-rd}^{(r)} - \dim M_{k-rd}^{(r+1)} \quad (r \geqslant 1). \tag{1.4.2}$$

So (1.4.1) follows from Corollary (1.3). For (1.4.2), see for instance [DiSa2, Formula (3)]. This finishes the proof of Corollary (1.4).

1.5. Proof of Theorem 2. We first show that

$$\mu_k^{(\infty)} = \nu_k^{(\infty)} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad k \geqslant nd. \tag{1.5.1}$$

We have the inclusion $F^\bullet \subset P^\bullet$, where F is the Hodge filtration. So (1.5.1) is reduced to the corresponding assertion for the Steenbrink spectrum. The latter is equivalent to

$$\operatorname{Gr}_F^p \widetilde{H}^j(F_f, \mathbb{C})_\lambda = 0 \quad \text{if } \ p < 0 \ \text{ or } \ p = 0,\ \lambda = 1, \tag{1.5.2}$$

and is proved in [BuSa1, Proposition 5.2]. So (1.5.1) follows.

On the other hand, $\mathrm{d}^{(r)}$ decreases the weights by rd, see (5). So its restriction to $\nu_k^{(r)}$ vanishes for $k < rd$, that is,

$$\nu_k^{(r)} = \nu_k^{(\infty)} \quad \text{if } \ k < rd. \tag{1.5.3}$$

We then get in the notation of Theorem 2

$$\chi_i^{(\infty)} = (-1)^{n-1}\chi(U) \quad \text{for any } \ i \in [1, d]. \tag{1.5.4}$$

Indeed, this follows from (1.5.1) together with the well known formula (see for instance [BuSa2, 1.4.2]):

$$\textstyle\sum_j (-1)^j H^j(F_f, \mathbb{C})_{\mathbf{e}(-k/d)} = \chi(U).$$

Assume $r \geqslant n$. Since $\mu_k^{(r)} \geqslant \mu_k^{(\infty)}$ for any k, we have by (1.5.3–4)

$$\chi_i^{(r)} \geqslant \chi_i^{(\infty)} = (-1)^{n-1}\chi(U) \quad (\forall\, i \in [1, d]). \tag{1.5.5}$$

Here the equalities hold for any $i \in [1, d]$ if and only if $\mu_k^{(r)} = \mu_k^{(\infty)}$ for any $k < nd$. So Theorem 2 follows.

Theorem 2 can be extended as follow.

Theorem (1.6). *Assume Z has 1-dimensional singular locus. We denote by $S^{(r)}$ the subquotients of $\Omega^{n-2}(-2d)$ corresponding to the $E_r^{p,q}(f)$ for $p+q=n-2$ in the notation of the introduction. Set $\sigma_k^{(r)} := \dim S_k^{(r)}$, and*

$$\chi_i^{(r)} := \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mu_{i+jd}^{(r)} - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \nu_{i+jd}^{(r)} + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \sigma_{i+jd}^{(r)} & \text{if } i \in [1, d-1], \\ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mu_{jd}^{(r)} - \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \nu_{jd}^{(r)} + \sum_{j=3}^{n-1} \sigma_{jd}^{(r)} + (-1)^{n-1} & \text{if } i = d. \end{cases}$$

Assume $h := f/x_n^d$ is quasi-homogeneous after a sufficiently general linear coordinate change of $x_1, \dots, x_n$ as is explained after Theorem 2, and moreover, there is an integer $r \geqslant n$ satisfying

$$\chi_i^{(r)} = (-1)^{n-1}\chi(U) \quad (\forall\, i \in [1, d]). \tag{1.6.1}$$

Then the pole order spectral sequence degenerates at E_r for $k < nd$, that is,

$$\mu_k^{(r)} = \mu_k^{(\infty)}, \quad \nu_k^{(r)} = \nu_k^{(\infty)}, \quad \sigma_k^{(r)} = \sigma_k^{(\infty)} \quad (\forall\, k < nd). \tag{1.6.2}$$

Outline of a proof. This can be shown by generalizing some arguments in the proofs of Theorem 2 in this paper, [DiSa2, Theorem 5.3] and also [DiSa2, Section 1].

Remarks. (i) It is very difficult to generalize the above argument to the non-quasi-homogeneous case (even if Z has 1-dimensional singular locus).

(ii) The condition that h is quasi-homogeneous (that is, $h \in (\partial h)$ with (∂h) the Jacobian ideal of h) is determined by its underlying analytic function $h^{\rm an}$ using the full faithfulness of $\mathcal{O}_{X^{\rm an},x}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$. In particular, h is quasi-homogeneous in the case $h^{-1}(0)_{\rm red}$ is a divisor with normal crossings.

2. Examples

In this section we explain some examples.

Example 2.1. Let

$$f_1 = x^5 + y^4 z + x^3 y^2 \quad \text{with} \quad h_1 = x^5 + y^4 + x^3 y^2,$$

where $d = 5$. Using computer programs based on [DiSt2] or this paper, we can get

k:	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
γ_k:	1	3	6	10	12	12	10	6	3	1		
μ_k:	1	3	6	10	12	12	11	11	11	11	11	11
$\mu_k^{(2)}$:	1	2	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\mu_k^{(3)}$:		1		1	1	1						
μ_k':					1	1						
ν_k:							1	5	8	10	11	11
$\nu_k^{(2)}$:											1	1
$\nu_k^{(3)}$:												

Here 0 is omitted. This answers a question in [Sa2, Section 2.4]. The spectral sequence degenerates at E_3 for $k \leqslant 3d-1$ by Theorem 2, and a variant of (10) with $\mathcal{R}_Z$ replaced by $\{d\}$ holds in this case, where $\chi(U) = 1$. Since $\mathcal{R}_Z \cap \frac{1}{5}\mathbb{Z} = \{1\}$, we get

$$\mathcal{R}_f^0 = \{\tfrac{4}{5}, \tfrac{6}{5}, \tfrac{7}{5}, \tfrac{8}{5}\},$$

as in *loc. cit.* (where a computer calculation by RISA/ASIR is used).

Example 2.2. Let

$$f_2 = (yz - x^2)^3 + y^6 \quad \text{with} \quad h_2 = (y - x^2)^3 + y^6,$$

where $d = 6$. In this case Z has only one singular point which is a μ-constant deformation of a weighted homogeneous polynomial $u^3 + y^{12}$ with $\mu_Z = 22$, $\tau_Z = 21$, see Remark below. Topologically Z is a union of three spheres intersecting at one point so that $\chi(Z) = 2\cdot 3 - 2 = 4$, and hence $\chi(U) = 3 - 4 = -1\,(= 5 \cdot 4 + 1 - \mu_Z)$ (see [Sa3, 2.9.5] for the last equality). It is rather rare that we have $\chi(U) < 0$.

Using computer programs based on [DiSt2] or this paper, we can get

k :	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
γ_k :	1	3	6	10	15	18	19	18	15	10	6	3	1		
μ_k :	1	3	6	10	15	18	20	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
$\mu_k^{(2)}$:	1	1	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\mu_k^{(3)}$:	1		1		1										
μ_k' :															
ν_k :							1	3	6	11	15	18	20	21	21
$\nu_k^{(2)}$:							1	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	1
$\nu_k^{(3)}$:							1	1	2	2	2	1	1		

The spectral sequence then degenerates at E_3 for $k \leqslant 3d - 1$ by Theorem 2, and a variant of (10) with $\mathcal{R}_Z$ replaced by $\{d\}$ does not hold in this case.

On the other hand, using the program "bfunction" in RISA/ASIR, we also get

$$12\,\mathcal{R}_f = 12\,\mathcal{R}_Z = \{5, \dots, 18\}, \quad \mathcal{R}_f^0 = \emptyset.$$

These imply that $\mathrm{CS}(f) = \emptyset$.

Remark. We can calculate the Tjurina number of h_2 using Singular as follows:

```
ring R = 0, (x,y), dp;
poly h=(y-x^2)^3+y^6;
ideal J=(jacob(h),h);
vdim(groebner(J));
```

This coincides with τ_Z, that is, μ_k, ν_k for $k \gg 0$, if $\{z = 0\} \cap \mathrm{Sing}\, Z = \emptyset$, see [DiSa2]. We can get the Milnor number if h in the definition of J is replaced by h^2 (using [BrSk]), see also [DiSt2]. (If one copies the input from a pdf file of this paper, then ^ should be replaced by a character from a keyboard.)

Example 2.3. Let

$$f_3 = (y^2 z - x^3)^3 + y^9 \quad \text{with} \quad h_3 = (y^2 - x^3)^3 + y^9,$$

where $d = 9$. Here $\mu_Z = 58$ (using the above Remark) and $\chi(U) = 8 \cdot 7 + 1 - 58 = -1$, see [Sa3, 2.9.5]. Note that Z is topologically the same as in Example (2.2).

Using a computer program based on the algorithm in this paper, we can get

k :	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
γ_k :	1	3	6	10	15	21	28	36	42	46	48	48	46	42	36	28	21	15	10	6	3	1		
μ_k :	1	3	6	10	15	21	28	36	42	46	49	51	52	52	52	52	52	52	52	52	52	52	52	52
$\mu_k^{(2)}$:	1	3	4	5	7	7	6	7	7	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
$\mu_k^{(3)}$:		1	1		1	1		1	1															
μ_k' :																								
ν_k :											1	3	6	10	16	24	31	37	42	46	49	51	52	52
$\nu_k^{(2)}$:											1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	4	5	6	6
$\nu_k^{(3)}$:											1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1			

The spectral sequence then degenerates at E_3 for $k \leqslant 3d-1$ by Theorem 2, and a variant of (10) with $\mathcal{R}_Z$ replaced by $\{d\}$ does not hold in this case.

On the other hand, we get by using the program "bfunction" in RISA/ASIR

$$\tfrac{3}{9} \in \tfrac{1}{9}\,\mathrm{CS}(f) = [\alpha_Z, 1-\alpha_Z] \cap (\mathcal{R}_Z + \mathbb{Z}_{<0}) \setminus \mathcal{R}_Z \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R}_f^0 = \emptyset,$$

where $\alpha_Z = 5/18$. One can show that $\frac{3}{9}$ does not belong to the Steenbrink spectral numbers of h_3 (see for instance [St]) by using an embedded resolution of $h_3^{-1}(0) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$. This does not imply that $\frac{3}{9} \notin \mathcal{R}_Z$, although the converse holds (that is, it is a necessary condition). We cannot show that $\frac{3}{9} \notin \mathcal{R}_f^0$ by using Theorem 1.

Example 2.4. Let

$$f_4 = x(x^2+y^2+z^2)^2,$$

where $d = 5$. This is *non-reduced*, and its restriction to $z = 1$ is quasi-homogeneous (see Remark (ii) after Theorem (1.6)), and $\chi(U) = 1$. Using a computer program based on the algorithm in this paper, we get

k :	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
γ_k :	1	3	6	10	12	12	10	6	3	1		
μ_k :	1	3	6	10	12	13	15	17	19	21	23	25
$\mu_k^{(2)}$:	1	1	1	1	1							
$\mu_k^{(3)}$:	1	1	1	1	1							
ν_k :						1	5	11	16	20	24	28
$\nu_k^{(2)}$:								1				
$\nu_k^{(3)}$:								1				
σ_k :											1	3
$\sigma_k^{(2)}$:												
$\sigma_k^{(3)}$:												

This implies the E_2-degeneration for $k \leqslant 3d-1$ by Theorem (1.6). On the other hand, we have by RISA/ASIR

$$\mathcal{R}_f^0 = \{\tfrac{3}{5}, \tfrac{4}{5}, \tfrac{6}{5}, \tfrac{7}{5}\}, \quad \mathcal{R}_Z = \{1, \tfrac{1}{2}\}.$$

Example 2.5. Let

$$f_5 = (x^5 + y^4z + x^3y^2)x^2,$$

where $d = 7$. This is also non-reduced, and $\chi(U) = 1$. In this case we have

k :	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19		
γ_k :	1	3	6	10	15	21	25	27	27	25	21	15	10	6	3	1			
μ_k :	1	3	6	10	15	21	25	27	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35		
$\mu_k^{(2)}$:	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2		
$\mu_k^{(3)}$:		1	1	1	1	1	1	1											
ν_k :										3	8	15	21	26	30	33	35	37	
$\nu_k^{(2)}$:												1			1	2	2	2	
$\nu_k^{(3)}$:												1							
σ_k :																		1	
$\sigma_k^{(2)}$:																			
$\sigma_k^{(3)}$:																			

This may suggest the E_3-degeneration. We have by RISA/ASIR

$$\mathcal{R}_f^0 = \{\tfrac{4}{7}, \tfrac{5}{7}, \tfrac{6}{7}, \tfrac{8}{7}, \tfrac{9}{7}, \tfrac{10}{7}\}.$$

Example 2.6. Let

$$f_6 = x^3y^3z^3,$$

where $d = 9$. We have

k :	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
γ_k :	1	3	6	10	15	21	28	36	42	46	48	48	46	42	36	28	21	15	10	6	3	1	
μ_k :	1	3	6	10	15	21	28	36	42	48	54	60	66	72	78	84	90	96	102	108	114	120	126
$\mu_k^{(2)}$:	1			1			1																
$\mu_k^{(3)}$:	1			1			1																
ν_k :										2	6	12	20	30	42	56	69	81	93	105	117	129	141
$\nu_k^{(2)}$:										2			2			2							
$\nu_k^{(3)}$:										2			2			2							
σ_k :																			1	3	6	10	15
$\sigma_k^{(2)}$:																			1			1	
$\sigma_k^{(3)}$:																			1			1	

This example is to see that the computer program is working correctly.

Remark. There are computer programs based on the algorithm in this paper which run on MacOS (probably 10.9.5 or later) and on Unix (SPARC/Solaris 10).

References

[BaSa] Barlet, D. and Saito, M., Brieskorn modules and Gauss-Manin systems for non-isolated hypersurface singularities, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 76 (2007), 211–224.

[BrSk] Briançon, J. and Skoda, H., Sur la clôture intégrale d'un idéal de germes de fonctions holomorphes en un point de $\mathbb{C}^n$, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. A, 278 (1974), 949–951.

[BuSa1] Budur, N. and Saito, M., Multiplier ideals, V-filtration, and spectrum, J. Alg. Geom. 14 (2005), 269–282.

[BuSa2] Budur, N. and Saito, M., Jumping coefficients and spectrum of a hyperplane arrangement, Math. Ann. 347 (2010), 545–579.

[De] Deligne, P., Théorie de Hodge II, Publ. Math. IHES, 40 (1971), 5–58.

[Di] Dimca, A., Singularities and topology of hypersurfaces, Universitext, Springer, New York, 1992.

[DiSa1] Dimca, A. and Saito, M., A generalization of Griffiths's theorem on rational integrals, Duke Math. J. 135 (2006), 303–326.

[DiSa2] Dimca, A. and Saito, M., Koszul complexes and spectra of projective hypersurfaces with isolated singularities, arXiv:1212.1081.

[DiSt1] Dimca, A. and Sticlaru, G., A computational approach to Milnor fiber cohomology, arXiv:1602.03496, to appear in Forum Math.

[DiSt2] Dimca, A. and Sticlaru, G., Computing the monodromy and pole order filtration on Milnor fiber cohomology of plane curves, arXiv:1609.06818.

[Go] Godement, R., Topologie algébrique et théorie des faisceaux, Hermann, Paris, 1958.

[Ka] Kashiwara, M., B-functions and holonomic systems, Inv. Math. 38 (1976/77), 33–53.

[Ma] Malgrange, B., Le polynôme de Bernstein d'une singularité isolée, Lect. Notes in Math. 459, Springer, Berlin, 1975, pp. 98–119.

[SaK] Saito, K., Quasihomogene isolierte Singularitäten von Hyperflächen, Inv. Math. 14 (1971), 123–142.

[Sa1] Saito, M, Multiplier ideals, b-function, and spectrum of a hypersurface singularity, Compos. Math. 143 (2007), 1050–1068.

[Sa2] Saito, M., Hilbert series of graded Milnor algebras and roots of Bernstein-Sato polynomials (arXiv:1509.06288).

[Sa3] Saito, M, Bernstein-Sato polynomials for projective hypersurfaces with weighted homogeneous isolated singularities, arXiv:1609.04801.

[Sat] Sato, M. (ed.), Singularities of Hypersurfaces and b-Function (Proceedings of workshop in 1973), RIMS Kokyuroku 225 (in Japanese), 1975.

[ScSt] Scherk, J. and Steenbrink, J.H.M., On the mixed Hodge structure on the cohomology of the Milnor fibre, Math. Ann. 271 (1985), 641–665.

[St] Steenbrink, J.H.M., Mixed Hodge structure on the vanishing cohomology, in Real and complex singularities, Sijthoff and Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn, 1977, pp. 525–563.

[Va] Varchenko, A.N, Asymptotic Hodge structure in the vanishing cohomology, Math. USSR-Izv. 18 (1982), 469–512.